

Long Game or Rapid Response: Which Is Better For Navigating Analyst Pressure?

Avinash Ghalke^{i*} & Amit Jainⁱ

ⁱS.P. Jain Institute of Management & Research

*Corresponding author, avinash.ghalke@spjimr.org



Problem of practice

What kind of CEO would you prefer to be or work for? A CEO who abandons important competitive actions, driven by short-term, negative market sentiment? Or a CEO who maintains a long-term perspective and sustains strategic initiatives even in the face of market pressure during a bad quarter? If you prefer the second type of CEO, a recent [study](#) by Jianhong Chen, Wan-Chien Lien, Danny Miller, and Tianxu Chen provides a compelling insight: CEOs with a long-term orientation are more likely to stay the course despite negative analyst recommendations.¹ But why does that matter? If your CEO is less likely to flinch during bad times, does your business do better? The answer is a resounding yes, from extensive and rigorous [research](#).² Maintaining a strong rhythm of competitive actions – think product development, service upgrades, brand building, and operations effectiveness – has a positive, long-term impact on company profits. Building on the research by Chen and team, and illustrated with several examples, our essay provides a road map for top management teams to manage market pressure while staying committed to long-term value creation

¹The article 'Competitive Actions under Analyst Pressure: The Role of CEO Time Horizons' by Jianhong Chen, Wan-Chien Lien, Danny Miller, and Tianxu Chen, featured in Volume 61, Issue 5 of *Journal of Management Studies* talks about underscoring CEO time horizon as a critical contingency in studying the impact of analyst pressures

CEO's time orientation

Research shows that analyst recommendations are a credible [signal](#) for retail and institutional investors and a 'sell' recommendation by equity analysts often leads to a decline in share prices.³ These declines place immense pressure on company leadership – particularly CEOs, CFOs and investor relations teams – to deliver quick results that can counteract the negative market sentiment. This pressure can lead organisations to delay or even abandon long-term strategic initiatives. In such cases, senior leadership teams feel compelled to react defensively, limiting their attention to long-term impact. Such behaviour also makes CXOs focus on operating on a quarter-to-quarter basis, to ensure positive or neutral recommendations. This reactive behaviour can reduce value creation and may even destroy shareholder value in the long term.

A recent [survey](#) found that 78% of finance leaders consider balancing short-term market expectations with long-term strategic goals, a paradox they frequently face in today's market environment.⁴ In a similar vein, another recent survey [highlights](#) specific CFO concerns such as investor sentiment, volatility, and reputation risk, all of which are heavily influenced by equity analyst coverage.⁵

The balancing act

So, how can CEOs balance short-term results to counter negative sentiments with long-term business goals? The

A recent EY Global DNA of the CFO survey found that 78% of finance leaders consider balancing short-term market expectations with long-term strategic goals a paradox CFOs face in today's market environment

research by Chen's team examined CEO response to analyst scrutiny to illustrate how a leader's orientation (short- or long-term) can influence their strategic behaviour under pressure. They created two dimensions to showcase how a company responds to market pressure: Strategic action intensity and Strategic action rhythm.

Strategic action intensity refers to the number and scale of major strategic initiatives a company undertakes, such as acquisitions, product launches or new partnerships. Senior leaders with a long-term orientation tend to maintain, or even increase, the intensity of strategic moves, despite negative recommendations. Take the case of Tata Motors' acquisition of Jaguar and Land Rover in 2008: The analyst community initially had [negative](#) perceptions about the deal.⁶ Rather than reintegrating Jaguar Land Rover Automotive PLC (JLR) into the Indian operations, they kept the existing leadership, maintained operations, and invested in long-term capital. The Tata Group's confidence enabled JLR to [thrive](#).⁷ In contrast, firms like Intel, which received [downgrades](#) from





analysts during the early 2010s, chose not to increase their strategic intensity in emerging technologies such as cloud and mobile.⁸ This cautious response contributed to Intel's gradual decline, culminating in leadership upheaval, strategic retrenchment, and [financial losses](#) by 2025.⁹

Strategic action rhythm refers to the consistency and pacing with which major initiatives are undertaken. A regular rhythm reflects steady, deliberate decision-making often tied to a long-term roadmap, as Paul Polman did. When he faced analyst wrath after [Unilever](#) failed to meet its sales targets, he stopped issuing quarterly guidance, signalling to Wall Street that he would not make decisions based on short-term recommendations to improve the stock price.¹⁰ He rolled out a long-term [strategy](#), known as the Sustainable Living Plan, to make Unilever a better steward of the environment and a more socially responsible business.¹¹ He did not give in to short-term market demands but focused on strategic rhythm for long-term sustainable growth. Hence, he delivered long-term returns better than competitors and was even called 'a standout [CEO](#) of the past decade' in 2018.¹²

Leaders can listen and adapt to analyst recommendations and course correct without losing sight of their long-term goals, thus enhancing their adaptability

In contrast, the case of Yahoo under Marissa Mayer is a cautionary tale of irregular strategic rhythm. Appointed in 2012 to revitalise the business, Mayer responded to analyst concerns about declining revenues by acquiring [Tumblr](#) for \$1.1 billion in 2013.¹³ The deal was announced within weeks of mounting pressure, without a clear integration roadmap or cultural fit analysis, demonstrating a [reactive approach](#) in pursuit of quick profits, despite lacking knowledge of social business.¹⁴ There was no effort to [clarify](#) Yahoo's strategic direction – whether it aimed to be a media company or a technology platform.¹⁵ Most acquisitions are long-term decisions that require proper integration, yet Yahoo made this commitment based on short-term criteria such as an immediate revenue boost to placate analysts.

Within just three years, Yahoo was forced to write down two-thirds of the acquisition's value, resulting in significant [downgrade](#) of shareholder wealth.¹⁶

The takeaway: CEOs with a long-term orientation tend to maintain both intensity and rhythm in the face of negative market commentary. In contrast, CEOs with a short-term focus often act in fits and starts, leading to fragmented strategies and diminished long-term value.

its rich IP portfolio.²⁰ But these moves were defensive and lacked strategic coherence and scale, and [Kodak](#) ultimately filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in January 2012.²¹

Sometimes organisations can maintain a strong long-term focus but fail to engage meaningfully with analyst feedback, missing out on opportunities to maximise potential, as Toyota did. Analysts encouraged Toyota to



Sustainable value creation depends on leadership's ability to manage the paradox between short-term expectations and long-term goals. This requires cultivating 'temporal adaptability' (the ability to stay anchored in long-term strategic direction while selectively adapting to short-term analyst feedback when necessary)

Role of analyst recommendations

But that doesn't mean that senior management can afford to ignore analysts' reports/recommendations, even if negative, as they may provide important feedback.

Leaders can listen and adapt to analyst recommendations and course correct without losing sight of their long-term goals. The transformation of Starbucks under Howard Schultz's leadership shows how leaders can adapt to analyst recommendations without losing sight of long-term goals. During the 2008 crisis, many companies, including [Starbucks](#), were being viewed in a negative light when Howard Schultz took over as CEO.¹⁷ He, in response to the negative comments, focused on short-term [measures](#) such as closing stores and opening new ones.¹⁸ But he also focused on the long-term [goal](#) of building the brand through a better customer experience.¹⁹

In contrast, organisations like Kodak that responded to analyst recommendations in the short term without anchoring their actions in a coherent, long-term strategic vision could only secure temporary survival but not sustained success. After the dawn of digital photography, Kodak was scrutinised by analysts for its failure to pivot into the new technology. Under CEO Antonio Pérez, the company initiated efforts to [reinvent](#) itself, focusing on digital cameras, printing, and licensing

shift more aggressively into Electric Vehicles (EV), citing global market trends and rising competition. However, [Toyota](#), committed to its long-term vision, remained focused on hybrid and hydrogen fuel cell technologies.²² While this strategy reflected a consistent long-term perspective, its limited responsiveness to analyst and market signals regarding the accelerating EV transition put it at a disadvantage. Today, many feel [Toyota](#) missed the early EV wave and now trails behind competitors in key markets.²³

Another important source of feedback is the credit or fixed-income analyst community, whose assessments can serve as guidelines for investors, banks, and bondholders to assess whether a company is financially reliable and worth investing in. While equity analysts tend to dominate market conversations, [credit rating](#) downgrades are often followed by significant declines in equity prices.²⁴ Their [recommendations](#) can affect bond markets, as debt investors may reassess a company's financial risk based on market sentiment.²⁵ When Moody's upgraded [Tesla's](#) credit rating, the company became 'blue-chip quality', where conservative investors are willing to buy the company's debt.²⁶

The takeaway: Leaders need to interpret and manage feedback from both equity and fixed income analysts. They must balance short-term expectations with long-term vision when making decisions, especially under market pressure.

Analysts and temporal adaptability

Businesses are increasingly relying on markets to raise capital, which exposes them to greater scrutiny from analysts. Sustainable value creation depends on leadership's ability to balance short-term expectations and long-term goals. They need to cultivate 'temporal adaptability' (the ability to focus on long-term strategic direction while selectively adapting to short-term analyst feedback when necessary). **Figure 1** represents the different dimensions of this balancing act.

Figure 1: Balancing market pressures and strategic intent

CEO orientation	Long-term	Principled persistence Leaders who stay the course, resist external pressures, e.g. Paul Polman of Unilever	Strategic adaptability CEOs who adapt thoughtfully while staying true to long-term vision e.g. Howard Schultz of Starbucks
	Short-term	Defensive drift Leadership often makes disjointed moves that neither solve short-term challenges nor build for the future, e.g. Marissa Mayer of Yahoo	Tactical firefighting Leaders react quickly to analyst concerns and initiate tactical fixes but lack a long-term foundation, e.g. Antonio Perez of Kodak
		Low	High
		Adaptation to analyst recommendations	

Source: Developed by the authors based on Jianhong Chen et al., 'Competitive Actions under Analyst Pressure: The Role of CEO Time Horizons', *Journal of Management Studies* 61, no. 5 (2024): 1916–45, <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12964>

For any business, the above framework offers both a diagnostic lens and a prescriptive path. Some key highlights are:

- Investor relations teams need to proactively **communicate** the long-term roadmap to analysts and investors, thus managing expectations through clarity and conviction.²⁷



- Most companies perform better when leaders focus on long-term development. But they can also face shareholders' wrath if they ignore analyst recommendations which can lead to short-term gains. The key is to maintain a consistent focus on the long-term vision while integrating short-term gains into it. A prime example is **Satya Nadella**, who focused on long-term plans such as developing AI capabilities while still managing steady growth each quarter.²⁸
- To foster leadership that can navigate high-pressure periods without abandoning long-term commitments, organisations can offer **targeted** executive education on capital markets, behavioural finance, and stakeholder communication.²⁹
- Boards and majority shareholders should support long-term viability by holding **dedicated sessions** on long-horizon strategies, especially during periods of market volatility or analyst downgrades.³⁰

Adapt to avoid pitfalls

Company leadership is often trapped into reacting to negative analyst recommendations and this can hurt the long-term competitive health of the organisation. To avoid this trap, as illustrated above, senior leadership can cultivate a long-term perspective and maintain the slower rhythms of competitive action. This 'temporal adaptability' or balance can help organisations avoid the pitfalls of short-term negative sentiments while unlocking sustained value creation.

Avinash Ghalke is Associate Professor of Finance and Accounting and Deputy Chairperson, Post Graduate Programme in General Management at SPJIMR. You can reach out to him at avinash.ghalke@spjimr.org

Amit Jain is Assistant Professor of Organisation and Leadership Studies at SPJIMR. You can reach out to him at amit.jain@spjimr.org

This article may contain links to third-party content, which we do not warrant, endorse, or assume liability for. The authors' views are personal

We welcome your thoughts – drop us a note at mpi@spjimr.org

REFERENCES

- ¹ Jianhong Chen et al., 'Competitive Actions under Analyst Pressure: The Role of CEO Time Horizons', *Journal of Management Studies* 61, no. 5 (2024): 1916–45, <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12964>.
- ² Patricia Klarner and Sebastian Raisch, 'Move to the Beat—Rhythms of Change and Firm Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* 56, no. 1 (2013): 160–84, <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0767>.
- ³ Xiaoting Wei and Viet Do, 'Equity Analysts' Recommendation Revisions and Corporate Bond Price Reactions', *International Review of Finance* 22, no. 4 (2022): 669–87, <https://doi.org/10.1111/irfi.12364>.
- ⁴ Myles Corson, 'How Can Bold CFOs Reframe Their Role', EY, The CFO Imperative: How Can Bold CFOs Reframe Their Role to Optimize Performance?, 28 June 2023, https://www.ey.com/en_gl/cfo-agenda/dna-of-the-cfo-survey.
- ⁵ Steve Gallucci, 'The 2024 CFO Agenda', *The Wall Street Journal*, 18 June 2024, <https://deloitte.wsj.com/cfo/the-2024-cfo-agenda-a28ee2bc>.
- ⁶ Indiatimes News Network, 'Tata Motors Shares Slump 3% on JLR Deal', Markets, *The Economic Times*, 27 March 2008, <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/markets/stocks/news/tata-motors-shares-slump-3-on-jlr-deal/printarticle/2903558.cms>.
- ⁷ Motozite, 'How Tata Motors Turned Jaguar Land Rover into a Global Success', Motozite, 25 August 2025, <https://blog.motozite.com/how-tata-motors-turned-jaguar-land-rover-into-a-global-success/>.
- ⁸ Sean Hollister, 'What Happened to Intel?', *The Verge*, 3 December 2024, <https://www.theverge.com/2024/12/3/24311594/intel-under-pat-gelsinger>.
- ⁹ Edt, 'Intel's Stock Tumbles Amid Worries Some of Its Problems "May Not Be Fixable"', *Investopedia*, 25 July 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/intel-stock-tumbles-amid-worries-some-of-its-problems-may-not-be-fixable-11778992>.
- ¹⁰ Scheherazade Daneshkhu and David Oakley, 'Paul Polman's Socially Responsible Unilever Falls Short on Growth', *Financial Times*, *Financial Times*, 9 February 2015, <https://www.ft.com/content/7c79452e-ae5c-11e4-8188-00144feab7de?syn-25a6b1a6=1>.
- ¹¹ Sophie Perryer, 'Sustainability Pioneer Paul Polman to Step down as Unilever CEO', *European CEO*, 30 November 2018, <https://www.europeanceo.com/business-and-management/sustainability-pioneer-paul-polman-to-step-down-as-unilever-ceo/>.
- ¹² Michael Skapinker, 'Unilever's Paul Polman Was a Standout CEO of the Past Decade', *Financial Times*, 11 December 2018, <https://www.ft.com/content/e7040df4-fa19-11e8-8b7c-6fa24bd5409c>.
- ¹³ James Vincent, "'We Won't Screw It up': Yahoo Buys New York-Based Social Network Tumblr for \$1.1bn as Chief Executive Marissa Mayer Rules out Radical Changes Following Negative Reaction', *The Independent*, 20 May 2013, <https://www.independent.co.uk/tech/we-won-t-screw-it-up-yahoo-buys-new-yorkbased-social-network-tumblr-for-1-1bn-as-chief-executive-marissa-mayer-rules-out-radical-changes-following-negative-reaction-8623988.html>.
- ¹⁴ Michael Wolff, 'Yahoo Buying Tumblr Is Not a Turnaround Story', Opinion, *The Guardian*, 20 May 2013, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2013/may/20/yahoo-buy-tumblr-last-ditch-effort>.
- ¹⁵ Timothy B. Lee, 'Yahoo Can't Decide If It's a Media Company or a Tech Company', *The Washington Post*, 20 May 2013, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2013/05/20/yahoo-cant-decide-if-its-a-media-company-or-a-tech-company/>.
- ¹⁶ Brandon Bailey and Michael Liedtke, *Yahoo Reports Another Big Loss, Writes down Tumblr Value*, AP News, 19 July 2016, <https://apnews.com/yahoo-reports-another-big-loss-writes-down-tumblr-value-a65cbaebc0c34287906877c661f235c1>.
- ¹⁷ Brad Stone, 'Original Team Tries to Revive Starbucks', Business, *The New York Times*, 30 October 2008, <https://www.nytimes.com/2008/10/30/business/30starbucks.html>.
- ¹⁸ Charles Herman, 'Coffee Crisis? Starbucks Closing 600 Stores', ABC News, 2 July 2008, <https://abcnews.com/Business/story?id=5288740&page=1>.
- ¹⁹ Claire Cain Miller, 'A Changed Starbucks. A Changed C.E.O.', Business, *The New York Times*, 12 March 2011, <https://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/13/business/13coffee.html>.
- ²⁰ Mic Wright, 'Kodak Develops: A Film Giant's Self-Reinvention', Tags, *Wired*, 15 February 2010, <https://www.wired.com/story/kodak-develops-a-film-giants-self-reinvention/>.
- ²¹ Carsten Krause, 'Kodak's Downfall—A Lesson in Failed Digital Transformation and Missed Opportunities', *The CDO TIMES*, 27 September 2023, <https://cdotimes.com/2023/09/27/case-study-kodaks-downfall-a-lesson-in-failed-digital-transformation-and-missed-opportunities/>.
- ²² Satoshi Sugiyama, *Toyota Says Consumer Choice Dictates Pace of Electrification*, Reuters, 15 June 2022, <https://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/toyota-slow-move-evs-says-it-offers-choices-meet-customer-needs-2022-06-15/>.
- ²³ Michael Phoon, *BYD Outsell Toyota In Japan In 2024: A Turning Point In Japan's EV Industry*, EV.com, 14 January 2025, <https://ev.com/news/byd-outsell-toyota-in-japan-in-2024-a-turning-point-in-japans-ev-industry>.
- ²⁴ Krishna Reddy et al., 'Impact of Credit Ratings on Stock Returns', *Bulletin of Monetary Economics and Banking* 21, no. 3 (2019): 343–66, <https://doi.org/10.21098/bemp.v21i3.986>.
- ²⁵ Xiaoting Wei and Viet Do, 'Equity Analysts' Recommendation Revisions and Corporate Bond Price Reactions'.
- ²⁶ Thomas Hughes, 'Game-Changing News For Tesla Investors', *MarketBeat*, 21 March 2023, <https://www.marketbeat.com/originals/game-changing-news-for-tesla-investors/>.
- ²⁷ Jay Gelb, David Honigmann, and Werner Rehm, 'What Your Most Important Investors Need to Know', McKinsey, *Investor Relations Strategy*, 28 November 2023, <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/what-your-most-important-investors-need-to-know?>

²⁸ The Empire Magazine, 'Microsoft AI Transformation Under Satya Nadella Vision!', Blogs, *The Empire Magazine*, 5 February 2026, <https://theempiremagazine.com/microsoft-ai-transformation-satya-nadella/>.

²⁹ EducationWorld, 'Mastering Leadership in Finance: Strategies for Senior Executives', *EducationWorld*, 30 July 2025, <https://educationworld.in/mastering-leadership-in-finance-strategies-for-senior-executives/>.

³⁰ Melanie C. Nolen, 'Shareholder Pressure, AI And Economic Volatility Erode Long-Term Planning, Survey Finds', *Corporate Board Member*, 14 January 2026, <https://boardmember.com/shareholder-pressure-ai-and-economic-volatility-erode-long-term-planning-survey-finds/>.

Article Information:

Date article submitted: May 16, 2025

Date article accepted: Jun 02, 2026

Date article published: Oct 15, 2026

Images courtesy : www.freepik.com